

National

WASHINGTON, D. C., MONDAY MORNING, APRIL 16, 1877.

VOL. XVII.

ON THE EVE OF BATTLE.

OUR REPORTER MAKES A TOUR OF THE DIPLOMATIC CORPS.

In Order to Learn Their Views Upon the Pending Struggle Between Turkey and Russia—Interviews Received With the Representatives of Germany, France, Austria and Spain—The Russian Minister, Confidential and Secretarian.

The politeness with which the political leader of Europe, during the past six months, has changed its inclination from war to peace, and vice versa, has well nigh shamed all who ever felt a degree of interest in the result of the Eastern controversy. And now, though not the only time that was between Russia and Turkey has seemed inevitable, the disputes from all sections of Europe present a much disturbed political aspect, with no escape from the long-talked-of war.

So high does this Turkish difficulty rise that almost any man would find it is a chronological duty to examine some recent view of the eastern confederate. It will be necessary therefore for the reader to consult back into the year of 1853, when Hercegovina, soon after joined by Servia, whilst against the Turkish dynasty in open insurrection. The bloody and protracted war that ensued was ascribed to the deeds of heretics and infidels committed by all Russia became attached to the subject of her transgression. Russia, claiming to act in the interest of humanity. In general, it is to be supposed that either Turk or Moscovite, when the former was next called upon, he was also away from the ministerial man-

the master. The Servian trouble having been settled, Servia having made peace with the Govt. of Austria, is not in any immediate danger, though if the trouble should be renewed it is difficult to tell what may be the result. When one's neighbor's house is on fire it is the interest of that one to extinguish the fire. Sometimes when the fire burns the abutting building catches on.

"Of course, it will be our interest, and, in fact, the interest of all Europe, to preserve the peace. Austria depends to a great extent upon Turkey for its commerce, but I do not think our commerce would be seriously crippled by a Russo-Turkish war."

FRANCE'S DISINTERESTEDNESS.

The French Minister being absent from the country, the Secretary of the Legation was called upon, who said:

"I have no information whatever on the subject, except that I go over your paper. I am not directly interested in the quarrel, and will strive at all hazards to keep neutral in case of war. I cannot tell how such a war might affect the other European Powers, though I think all will remain peaceful and allow Turkey and Russia to settle the question themselves."

The Russian minister was next called upon; he was also away from the city, being in New York. His Secretary of Legation, strange to say, is also away from the ministerial man-

THE NEXT HOUSE.

THE WAY IN WHICH CLERK ADAMS MADE UP THE ROLL.

The List Furnished the Treasury Department.—The Majority Chosen by the Democrats—What It Would be If the Roll Were Made Up Fairly.

The Clerk of the House of Representatives has transmitted the following names of Representatives to the Treasurer of the United States as having been elected to the next House, and as being therefore entitled to be given the districts in each State. The Democrats are indicated by italicics. The asterisk (*) designates those who were members of the last House:

ALABAMA.

	DIST.
1. John T. Jones.	1. G. W. Hewitt.*
2. H. K. Herbert.	2. W. H. Farley.
3. Wm. N. Williams.*	3. Wm. W. Garib.
4. Chas. M. Shedd.	4. W. F. Lynde.

ARKANSAS.

1. Lucius C. Greene.*	2. Jordan E. Crawford.
2. Wm. F. Siemens.	3. John H. Shorten.

CALIFORNIA.

1. R. H. F. Page.*	2. John K. Lylewell.
2. George F. Smith.	3. John W. Hall.

COLORADO.

1. Geo. M. Laddens.	2. John T. Wait.
2. James Phelps.*	3. Levi Warner.

CONNECTICUT.

1. Geo. L. Parsons.	2. John T. Wait.
2. James Phelps.	3. Levi Warner.

DELAWARE.

1. James Williams.	2. John T. Wait.
2. R. H. M. Davison.	3. Levi Warner.

FLORIDA.

1. R. H. M. Davison.	2. John T. Wait.
2. James Williams.	3. Levi Warner.

GEORGIA.

1. J. H. Harbridge.*	2. John T. Wait.
2. C. C. Pinckney.	3. Levi Warner.

ILLINOIS.

1. Wm. Aldrich.	2. John T. Wait.
2. H. K. Harbridge.	3. Levi Warner.

INDIANA.

1. Geo. W. Johnson.	2. John T. Wait.
2. Wm. D. McPherson.	3. Levi Warner.

KANSAS.

1. J. H. St. George.	2. John T. Wait.
2. W. D. Bassett.	3. Levi Warner.

LOUISIANA.

1. Randall L. Gibson.	2. John T. Wait.
2. E. J. John Eliza.	3. Levi Warner.

MARYLAND.

1. W. A. Phillips.*	2. John T. Wait.
2. D. C. Haskell.	3. Levi Warner.

MASSACHUSETTS.

1. J. F. Cooke.*	2. John T. Wait.
2. J. A. MacKaye.	3. Levi Warner.

MISSOURI.

1. R. W. Harris.	2. John T. Wait.
2. G. S. Powers.	3. Levi Warner.

MISSISSIPPI.

1. W. H. Meekins.	2. John T. Wait.
2. V. H. Massing.	3. Levi Warner.

MISSOURI.

1. W. D. Monroe.	2. John T. Wait.
2. H. D. Strait.	3. Levi Warner.

MINNESOTA.

1. J. C. Williams.	2. John T. Wait.
2. D. C. Franklin.	3. Levi Warner.

MISSOURI.

1. J. H. Franklin.	2. John T. Wait.
2. David Ross.	3. Levi Warner.

NEBRASKA.

1. R. C. Hinckley.	2. John T. Wait.
2. C. H. Pratt.	3. Levi Warner.

NEW HAMPSHIRE.

1. C. H. Snarkin.	2. John T. Wait.
2. C. C. Abbott.	3. Levi Warner.

NEW JERSEY.

1. J. H. Prugh.	2. John T. Wait.
2. Miles Ross.	3. Levi Warner.

NEW YORK.

1. C. H. St. George.	2. John T. Wait.
2. J. H. Pugh.	3. Levi Warner.

OHIO.

1. M. Seeger.	2. John T. Wait.
2. A. M. Wadell.	3. Levi Warner.

PENNSYLVANIA.

1. J. T. Kiser.	2. John T. Wait.

</tbl_struct